

**Appl. No. 10/649,078**  
**Amdt. dated November 7, 2005**  
**Reply to Office action of August 10, 2005**

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Applicants have received the Office action dated August 10, 2005, which: 1) rejects claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly anticipated by *Hilton* (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0257855); 2) objects to claims 3-5; and 3) allowed claims 6, 7, 9-16, 18-20, and 22-28. With this Response, Applicants have neither amended nor canceled any claims. Therefore, claims 1-7 and 9-28 remain pending.

#### **I. ALLOWED CLAIMS**

Applicants appreciate the allowance of claims 6, 7, 9-16, 18-20, and 22-28. Claims 3-5 stand objected to as depending on a rejected base claim but otherwise would be in a condition for allowance if rewritten in independent form. Given the remarks presented below, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 3-5 are in a condition for allowance without being rewritten in independent form.

#### **II. THE 102(e) REJECTIONS**

Claims 1 and 2 stand rejected as allegedly anticipated under § 102(e) by *Hilton*. Applicants respectfully submit that the attached declaration, filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.131, overcomes these rejections. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 2, as well as their dependent claims, are not anticipated by *Hilton*.

#### **III. CONCLUSION**

In the course of the foregoing discussions, Applicants may have at times referred to claim elements in shorthand fashion, or may have focused on a particular claim element. This discussion should not be interpreted to mean that the other elements can be ignored or dismissed. The claims must be viewed as a whole, and each element of the claims must be considered when determining the patentability of the claims.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are

**Appl. No. 10/649,078**  
**Amdt. dated November 7, 2005**  
**Reply to Office action of August 10, 2005**

hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Tuttle  
PTO Reg. No. 54,504  
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.  
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)  
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)  
AGENT FOR APPLICANTS

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
Legal Dept., M/S 35  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400